

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,162	11/12/2003	Farid Nemati	C-028	7584	
7590 05/26/2005			EXAMINER		
H. C. Chan			JACKSON JR, JEROME		
T-RAM, Inc. 100 Headquarte	ers Drive	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA 95134-1370			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/706,162	NEMATI ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
			Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815	*			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions o SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136 inication. days, a reply utory period wi rill, by statute, o	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 March 2005</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 21	b) This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,15,19-22,24 and 26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4,7,16-18,23,25 and 27 is/are objected to.							
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the pr	locuments locuments f the priori al Bureau	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	` ·							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🛛 Infor	ie of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/9/05</u> .			atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,162

Art Unit: 2815

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-6,15,19-22,24,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nemati '359.

The previous rejection still applies. The new limitations are functional language and do not structurally distinguish over Nemati because column 7 lines 35-40 state that the gate of the NMOSFET can be independently controlled, i.e. it can be configured and arranged for receiving a signal outside of the thyristor.

Claims 7-14,16-18,23,25,27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed 3/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Arguments on page 8 of the remarks that the Nemati device cannot be configured and arranged for receiving a signal outside of the thyristor are unconvincing in view of column 7 lines 35-40 of Nemati. Applicant quotes the relevant part of the desription of figure 8. There is no evidence that Nemati cannot function in the manner claimed and it is applicant's burden to prove otherwise. See In re Swinehart 169 USPQ 226, In re Pearson 181 USPQ 641, and Ex parte Minks 169 USPQ 120 on labels, statements of intended use, and functional language in claims drawn to structure as we have here, all of which make it clear that it is applicant's burden to show that the anticipating art cannot function in the manner claimed.

Art Unit: 2815

Arguments regarding claims 2-5 are likewise unconvincing of patentability as the functional language again does not structurally distinguish the claims over Nemati and furthermore, "a circuit arrangement" is broad and in no way structurally distinguishes claim 2 over Nemati figure 8. Arguments regarding claim 3 are likewise unconvincing as "a temperature sensing circuit" is broad, the functional language is not structurally distinguishing over Nemati, and the device of Nemati "provides high stability for the blocking state of the thyristor against high temperature" (col.7 lines 34-35) and "alternatively, the gate of the NMOSFET can be independently controlled" i.e. coupled to an outside "circuit" which in this case should be a temperature sensing circuit as the purpose is to "provide high stability... against high temperature". Claims 4 and 5 likewise recite functional language and do not structurally distinguish over Nemati.

Arguments regarding claim 15 are likewise unconvincing of patentability as above in regard to "functional language" as Nemati can function in the same manner particulary in view of "independent control" for providing "high stability"…"against high temperature". There is no evidence that Nemati cannot function in the same manner.

Arguments regarding claims 24 and 26 are unconvincing as figures 7 and 8 of Nemati show embodiments where lifetime reducing elements 750 are located all along the base-emitter junction and NMOSFET 850 spans that junction. Including both transistor 850 and elements 750 is obvious if not anticipated by Nemati.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571 272 1730. The examiner can normally be reached on t-th 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571 272 1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JERÓME/JACKSON PRIMABY EXAMINES